The A. F. of L. Weekly News Letter contains a brief summary of important matters affecting labor, occurring in the industrial, legislative, and judicial fields, and such other information that will benefit the trade union movement.

AMERICAN FEDERATION OF LABOR

WEEKLY NEWS LETTER

AGITATE! EDUCATE! ORGANIZE!

Entered as second-class matter December 29, 1917, at the Post Office at Washington, D. C., under the Act of August 24, 1912. Acceptance for mailing at special rate of postage provided for in Sec. 1103, Act of October 3, 1917, authorized July 12, 1918.

VOL. 10. NO. 17. WASHINGTON, D. C., SATURDAY, JULY 17, 1920. WHOLE NO. 485.

SECRECY FOR COAL OWNERS; PUBLICITY FOR COAL MINERS

Scranton, Pa., July 17.—The anthracite wage commission has ruled against considering additional presented by workers in which the coal owners are charged with monopoly and profiteering.

The miners insisted that these practices have a direct relation to profits, and wages, and admission holds that the exhibits are pertinent to the case.

The commission's ruling means that the miners' lives must be laid bare to the commission's gaze, but the coal owners, however, are protected in their books. The commission should be given full information on the coal industry in order to act intelligently.

There will be no publicity on the coal owners' methods.

The miners were compelled to show that half a dozen railroads controlled the anthracite mining industry and that through coal companies they "rig" the market. Other exhibits would show the relation of wages to cost of production, profits, and interests of coal companies; coal prices since 1913; freight rates and other transportation costs; operating and financial transaction of anthracite railroads; coal owners' combination to prevent the employment of the mines during March of this year. The coal owners made a better fight to keep this information out of the records. They even insisted that the commission admit the exhibits should be secret, but the commission made no concession to the miners, who battled for the widest publicity.

The miners pointed to the inconsistency of coal owners who talk "secrecy" regarding the public's business now refuse to inform the public of conditions that have a direct bearing on wages and the price of coal. In answer to the claim that the commission has no jurisdiction, the miners insisted that there was an implied jurisdiction, even duty.

"The commission," said the miners, "is not an ordinary arbitration board; it is a public body, charged with not only deciding issues as to wages and conditions of employment, but also with the development of all the facts bearing on the public interest."

LABOR ANALYZES DEMOCRATIC PLATFORM

Washington, July 17. — In a statement signed by President Gompers and Vice Presidents Valentine, Green and Woll, of the A. F. of L. this summary is made of the democratic national platform:

"It is but fair to say that the democratic platform marks a measure of progress not found in the platform of the republican party. In relation to labor's proposals, planks written into the democratic platform more nearly approximate the desired declarations of labor's rights than do the planks found in the republican platform."

The four trade unionists were instructed by the A. F. of L. executive council to present labor's demands to the democratic-national convention, following a resolution unanimously adopted by the last A. F. of L. convention, after it had received the report of the delegation of the A. F. of L. which appeared before the platform committee of the republican national convention.

The statement signed by Messrs. Gompers, Valentine, Green and Woll compares the democratic platform, section by section, with the demands of labor, as was done with the republican platform. The unionists make comment on those democratic planks that are of major interest to the workers.

On the injunction plank, this comment is made:

"While the language of the platform constitutes an indictment of the labor provisions of the Clayton act, there is no specific condemnation of the abuse of the writ of injunction in labor disputes. However, failure of the platform to condemn the injunctions secured through the efforts of Attorney General Palmer in the miners' case may fairly be assumed to constitute repudiation of that action."

On the collective-bargaining and right-to-strike plank, the unionists make this comment:

"The platform provisions here set forth are specific in condemnation of compulsory labor—the thought is privately owned industry. There is a vague reference to labor in the first two paragraphs. There is uncertainty as to what the platform means by the use of the word 'service' in the obligation of the workers to the state and the corresponding demand for a substitute for the right of the workers to cease work when cessation of work is the only manager the lives or health of the people.

"With regard to employes in government service, it will be observed that the platform declaration quotes in full the action of the republican convention on these democratic planks that are of major interest to the workers.

"On the co-operation plank, this comment is made:

"The platform pledges the co-operation of the government with the co-operative movement, but does not go beyond the declaration of the republican platform, which is merely an expression of sympathy. The democratic platform does not specify any definite action by the government to promote co-operation, nor does it indicate the method by which the government will co-operate with the co-operative movement."

On the co-operation plank, this comment is made:

"The platform paragraph on co-operation responds largely to labor's proposal. In relation to the general proposal the platform calls for publication of cases of prosecution on file, but does not call for the publication of costs of manufactured articles in the hands of middlemen. The platform is silent as to the third proposal and offers no remedy to deal with profiteering. Without profiteering, but proposes the enactment of legislation to limit profiteering by the direct method of fixing prices by the interstate commerce commission. The platform is silent as to the fourth proposal and offers no remedy to deal with profiteering, but proposes the enactment of legislation as may be required to bring profiteers before the bar of criminal justice.

"This comment is made on the railroad plank:

"The platform calls attention to the fact that defects exist in the Cummins-Esch law and pledges the democratic party to their rectification. Inasmuch as the railroads are now under private ownership and operation and their employes no longer in government service, it will be observed in the third plank hereinbefore quoted that the democratic form declares that 'in private industrial disputes we are opposed to compulsory arbitration as a method last quoted, however, implies methods in the settlement of disputes in government employment which can not be approved as a general statement of government policy."

On the co-operation plank, this comment is made:

"The platform paragraph on co-operation responds largely to labor's proposal. In relation to the general proposal the platform calls for publication of cases of prosecution on file, but does not call for the publication of costs of manufactured articles in the hands of middlemen. The platform is silent as to the third proposal and offers no remedy to deal with profiteering. Without profiteering, but proposes the enactment of legislation to limit profiteering by the direct method of fixing prices by the interstate commerce commission. The platform is silent as to the fourth proposal and offers no remedy to deal with profiteering, but proposes the enactment of legislation as may be required to bring profiteers before the bar of criminal justice.

"This comment is made on the railroad plank:

"The platform calls attention to the fact that defects exist in the Cummins-Esch law and pledges the democratic party to their rectification. Inasmuch as the railroads are now under private ownership and operation and their employes no longer in government service, it will be observed in the third plank hereinbefore quoted that the democratic form declares that 'in private industrial disputes we are opposed to compulsory arbitration as a method

REACTION SHRIEKS "PARTISANSHIP" AS LABOR UNFOLDS BLACK RECORDS

Washington, July 17.—The labor records of reactionary candidates now being made public by the A. F. of L. national non-partisan political campaign committee, hasnt men who would pose as sympathetic to the workers.

Reaction's reply is to assume a virtuous pose and shriek "partisanship."

Labor's non-partisan political activity was never more intensive and this is a new problem for reaction. In the past efforts to defeat citizens take political action they should await the edict of some superior person whose regard for humanity is only in the mind of a high-priced press agent.

Reaction has discovered that organized labor insists on doing its own thinking. These workers believe in democracy. They refuse to accept the wisdom of those placed on a pedestal by special interests. They refuse to vote for candidates voted when in office and how they will vote if elected. With this information before them they are competent to act without the advice of others.

The A. F. of L. non-partisan committee is adhering to this long-established policy of the trade union movement. Records of senators and congressmen are made public, literature on the workers' legislative demands is issued and workers are urged to study these documents, question candidates and then vote as their conscience dictates, in the interest of labor's advance.

Reports to the A. F. of L. committee indicate growing enthusiasm and determination for this program. More than 100 city central bodies and more than 1,000 local trade unions have appointed committees to co-operate with the A. F. of L. committee.

There are many unions that have not reported to the A. F. of L. committee the names and addresses of their local committee. This is especially true of the cities of a southern city which has reported 23 committees and recently sent word the national committee that at a recent conference of committees, 30 unions were represented.

Local committees are adopting a plan suggested by the national committee that congressional district conferences will make more effective the non-partisan policy.

PROFIT SHARING PLAN SHORN OF ITS GLORY

Boston, July 17.—Profit sharing with workers is shorn of its glory by the national industrial conference board, representing a score of manufacturers' associations, in a report on the subject, a nation-wide study of this question and allied plans such as labor banks, stock selling to workers, etc.

Portions of the report sound like a trade union declaration. The profit sharing is no panacea. It is not a solution of the wage problem." As far as establishing permanent satisfactory relations with their employees, the manufacturers' associations are advised that their profit sharing efforts "might better be turned in other directions."

It is stated that but few of the many plans put in operation have survived a long period of time, and that "industry seem to be uniformly opposed to profit sharing, and difficulties are encountered sufficiently to make unskilled and unintelligent workers."

"A study of the history of abandoned plans, moreover, strongly suggests the thought that plans now in operation, which have elicited enthusiastic testimonials of success, may be of the type which mark the usual course of a profit sharing plan, that their real test has not been reached, and eventually they will fall into disuse.

"Many instances noted concerning employing profit sharing for principal employes contemplate extending the plan to cover the rank and file. But in the majority of cases such extension seem to have uniformly opposed to profit sharing, and difficulties are encountered sufficiently unskilled and unintelligent workers."

"A study of the history of abandoned plans, moreover, strongly suggests the thought that plans now in operation, which have elicited enthusiastic testimonials of success, may be of the type which mark the usual course of a profit sharing plan, that their real test has not been reached, and eventually they will fall into disuse.

"Many instances noted concerning employing profit sharing for principal employes contemplate extending the plan to cover the rank and file. But in the majority of cases such extension seem to have been uniformly opposed to profit sharing, and difficulties are encountered sufficiently unskilled and unintelligent workers."

"As a further argument against profit sharing as a solution of the labor problem, the report points out that the average profit sharing plan is not a panacea. It is not a solution of the wage problem." As far as establishing permanent satisfactory relations with their employees are concerned, manufacturers are advised that their profit sharing efforts 'might better be turned in other directions.'"

It is stated that but few of the many plans put in operation have survived a long period of time, and that industry seem to be uniformly opposed to profit sharing, and difficulties are encountered sufficiently unskilled and unintelligent workers."

"A study of the history of abandoned plans, moreover, strongly suggests the thought that plans now in operation, which have elicited enthusiastic testimonials of success, may be of the type which mark the usual course of a profit sharing plan, that their real test has not been reached, and eventually they will fall into disuse.

"Many instances noted concerning employing profit sharing for principal employes contemplate extending the plan to cover the rank and file. But in the majority of cases such extension seem to have been uniformly opposed to profit sharing, and difficulties are encountered sufficiently unskilled and unintelligent workers."

"As a further argument against profit sharing as a solution of the labor problem," the report points out that the average profit sharing plan is not a panacea. It is not a solution of the wage problem."

As far as establishing permanent satisfactory relations with their employees are concerned, manufacturers are advised that their profit sharing efforts 'might better be turned in other directions."